

The Refugee Protection Act of 2016 Sectional Analysis

Sec. 1. Short Title.

The short title is the Refugee Protection Act of 2016.

Sec. 2. Definitions.

This section defines the terms “asylum seeker” and “Secretary of Homeland Security.”

Sec. 3. Elimination of Time Limits on Asylum Applications.

This section eliminates the one-year time limit for filing an asylum claim. The stated intent of Congress in 1996 in enacting the one-year deadline was to prevent fraud, not to deprive *bona fide* applicants from securing protection under our laws. Yet, even in 1996, problems related to fraud had been resolved through administrative reform implemented by the Immigration & Naturalization Service, which opposed the implementation of an application deadline. Since the one-year deadline was enacted, and despite exceptions available in the law for extraordinary or changed circumstances that may prevent the timely filing of an application, many asylum seekers with genuine claims have been denied protection. The exceptions to the one-year deadline are not uniformly applied to applicants, leading to unfair treatment of those who have legitimate reasons for applying after the one-year deadline. Moreover, a significant number of applicants have subsequently met the higher standard for withholding of removal, demonstrating that their claims were valid. This section allows such an asylum seeker to reopen his asylum claim if he is still in the United States, has not subsequently been awarded lawful permanent residence status, is not subject to a bar to asylum, and should not be denied asylum as a matter of discretion.

Sec. 4. Protecting Certain Vulnerable Groups of Asylum Seekers.

To be eligible for asylum under the Refugee Convention and domestic law, an applicant must show that he or she has experienced persecution or have a well-founded fear of future persecution on account of race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a particular social group. This section makes several modifications to current law to ensure that particularly vulnerable groups of asylum seekers have a full and fair opportunity to seek protection in the United States.

Subsection (a) codifies the holding of the landmark Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) decision in *Matter of Acosta*, 19 I. & N. Dec. 211 (BIA 1985). That holding defined the basis of persecution based on membership in a “particular social group” as one comprised of individuals who share a common characteristic they either cannot change, or should not be required to change because the characteristic is fundamental to their identity or conscience. The *Acosta* precedent has been clouded in recent years by BIA opinions that require asylum applicants to prove additional factors, some of which are unnecessary or contrary to the spirit of domestic law and the Refugee Convention. Most damaging is a requirement that the social group in question be “socially visible,” a factor that could endanger certain categories of refugees, such as victims of gender persecution or LGBT asylum seekers. These are groups that, as Judge Posner of the Seventh Circuit Court of

Appeals described, are at great pains to remain socially invisible. This subsection codifies the definition of social group in *Matter of Acosta* such that inappropriate, additional factors such as social visibility cannot be required by the BIA. The provision also clarifies that certain actions taken while under duress or by children under the age of 18 may not be used to automatically preclude asylum to an otherwise eligible applicant.

Subsection (b) makes additional changes to current law. Paragraph (1): United States law has long recognized that persecutors may have mixed motives for harming their victims. For example, a militia that operates outside government control may persecute a particular race of persons because of xenophobia and also because it seeks to deprive the persecuted race of valuable land and property. The fact that the persecutor is motivated by two intertwined goals should not prevent the victims from obtaining protection. Nonetheless, the REAL ID Act of 2005 raised the burden of proof that asylum seekers must meet in order to show that they fear persecution on account of one of the five grounds enumerated in the Refugee Convention and in U.S. law. (The five grounds are race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion.) The REAL ID Act requires that the asylum seeker demonstrate that harm on account of a protected ground is “at least one central reason” for the feared persecution. *See* INA § 208(b)(1)(B)(i). The “one central reason” language is modified in this section, which does not fully repeal the notion of persecutor intent but applies it in a manner that is both realistic and fair. This paragraph strikes the language that requires the protected ground (e.g., race) to be one central reason for the persecution and requires instead that the protected ground “was or will be a factor in the applicant’s persecution or fear of persecution.”

Paragraph (2): The REAL ID Act of 2005 added requirements to the INA with regard to an asylum seeker’s duty to provide corroborating evidence when it is requested by an immigration judge. The REAL ID Act stated that “such evidence must be provided unless the applicant does not have the evidence and cannot reasonably obtain the evidence.” Corroborating evidence can be an important component of an asylum claim, but asylum seekers must have a fair opportunity to respond to requests for corroboration. In addition, as courts have noted, it is sometimes virtually impossible for asylum seekers to obtain certain types of corroborating evidence. Therefore, this paragraph requires that when the trier of fact seeks corroborating evidence, the trier of fact must provide notice and allow the asylum applicant a reasonable opportunity to file such evidence unless the applicant does not have the evidence and cannot reasonably obtain the evidence. It also clarifies that evidence shall not be deemed reasonably obtainable if procurement of such evidence would endanger the life or safety of any person in the applicant’s home country.

Paragraph (3) renumbers text in the statute.

Paragraph (4): As noted above, an asylum seeker must show that his or her well-founded fear of persecution is *on account of* one of the five grounds of asylum. This link is often called the nexus requirement. Some genuine asylum seekers have been denied asylum because of a lack of clear guidance on how the nexus requirement may be established when the persecutor is a non-state actor. The Department of Justice issued draft

regulations in 2000 that made clear that an asylum seeker can demonstrate nexus through either “direct or circumstantial” evidence. This draft regulation was consistent with the U.S. Supreme Court’s decision in *INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 483 (1992). This paragraph would codify the draft regulation by making clear that either direct or circumstantial evidence may establish that persecution is on account of one of the five grounds.

Paragraph (5): The REAL ID Act also modified the INA with regard to factors that an immigration judge may consider in determining the asylum seeker’s credibility. In short, the REAL ID gave heightened importance to inconsistencies in an asylum seeker’s claim, even if those inconsistencies were minor or immaterial to the heart of the claim. In practice, an asylum seeker with limited English skills, with post-traumatic stress disorder, or with other conditions, may make simple, minor errors in the telling and retelling of their story. This paragraph modifies the INA to state that if the immigration judge determines that there are inconsistencies or omissions in the claim, the asylum seeker should be given an opportunity to explain and to provide support or evidence to clarify such inconsistencies or omissions. Subsection (c) makes the same corrections to the corroboration and credibility determinations for removal proceedings that are described in paragraphs (2) and (5) above.

Sec. 5. Scope and Standard for Review.

This section prevents the removal of an alien during the 30-day period an alien has to file a petition for review to a Federal Circuit Court of Appeals after the alien has been ordered removed. Staying the removal during this period will enable an applicant to carefully consider whether to file an appeal rather than rush to file in order to preserve his or her rights. In weak cases, the alien will likely decline to appeal, and deport voluntarily or via government removal. This section also restores judicial review to a fair and reasonable standard consistent with principles of administrative law. The standard in this section is that the Court of Appeals shall sustain a final decision ordering the removal of an alien unless that decision is contrary to law, an abuse of discretion, or not supported by substantial evidence. The decision must be based on the administrative record on which the order of removal is based.

Sec. 6. Efficient Asylum and Refugee Determination Process.

Under current law, an alien who requests asylum as they attempt to enter the United States (an “arriving alien”) is subject to detention for part or all of the time that they await an asylum hearing. Such asylum seekers are provided an initial interview with an asylum officer to determine whether they have a credible fear of persecution, but then must pursue their asylum case in immigration court, rather than in a non-adversarial proceeding. Generally speaking, the adversarial immigration hearing is considerably lengthier and costlier than a non-adversarial asylum hearing. Under subsection(a), the DHS asylum office would be given jurisdiction over an asylum case after a positive credible fear determination. The alien would then undergo a non-adversarial asylum interview. If the asylum officer is unable to recommend a grant of asylum, the case will be referred to an immigration judge and the asylum seeker placed in removal

proceedings. This structure mirrors the current process for asylum seekers who apply for asylum from within the United States.

Subsection(b) makes changes to the refugee determination process that allow for an individual who is applying for refugee status as part of a family unit to sever their own case from that of their family if they have already been approved for travel to the United States but another family member is still undergoing the approval process.

Subsection(c) clarifies that an alien eligible for asylum is governed by the criteria in 8 U.S.C. 1158 and is not precluded by a prior removal order.

Sec. 7. Secure Alternatives Program.

This section requires the Secretary of Homeland Security to establish a variety of secure “alternatives to detention” programs. These programs are to be developed in consultation with non-governmental organizations and utilize a variety of alternatives to detention and case management services to ensure that aliens appear at removal proceedings. The Secretary will make an individual determination of what alternatives to detention are appropriate for an individual and the program shall not apply to those aliens whose appearance at a court hearing is determined to be ensured by bond or recognizance. Supervision of an alien’s level of supervision shall be reevaluated on a regular basis and electronic monitoring devices are appropriate in cases in which there is a demonstrated need for enhanced monitoring.

The Secretary of Homeland Security currently has discretion to detain asylum seekers. This section maintains such discretion but clarifies that, consistent with a DHS policy announced in December 2009, it is the policy of the United States to release (“parole”) asylum seekers who have established a credible fear of persecution. Under this section, asylum seekers who have established identity will be released within 7 days of a positive credible fear determination unless DHS can show that the asylum seeker poses a risk to public safety (which may include a risk to national security) or is a flight risk. If parole is denied, DHS must provide the asylum seeker with written notification for the reason for denial conveyed in a language the asylum seeker claims to understand.

Sec. 8. Conditions of Detention.

Regulations regarding conditions for detention shall be promulgated, and must address several issues including access to legal service providers, group legal orientation presentations, translation services, recreational programs and activities, access to law libraries, prompt case notification requirements, access to working telephones, access to religious services, notice of transfers, and access to facilities by nongovernmental organization. This section also limits the use of solitary confinement, shackling, and strip searches.

Sec. 9. Timely Notice of Immigration Charges.

This section requires the Department of Homeland Security to file a charging document with the immigration court closest to the location at which an alien was apprehended within 48 hours of the alien being taken into custody by the Department. The

Department is also required to serve a copy of the charging document on the alien within 48 hours of apprehension. This section will serve multiple purposes. It will prevent asylum seekers and other aliens from languishing in detention at taxpayer expense without being charged. It will encourage efficient handling of cases by both the Department of Homeland Security and the immigration courts, which are operated by the Department of Justice. Finally, it will ensure that if an asylum seeker or other alien is transferred from one detention facility to another, jurisdictional and due process protections will attach.

Sec. 10. Procedures for Ensuring Accuracy and Verifiability of Sworn Statements Taken Pursuant to Expedited Removal Authority.

This section modifies current policy to ensure that asylum seekers are not harmed by error in the production of sworn statements taken during the expedited removal process. It requires that the Secretary of Homeland Security establish a procedure whereby the interviews of asylum seekers are recorded. The recording may be a video, audio or other reliable form of recording. The recording must include a written statement, in its entirety, being read back to the alien in a language that the alien claims to understand, and include the alien affirming the accuracy of the statement or making any corrections thereto. If an interpreter is necessary, such interpreter must be competent in the language of the asylum seeker. Once a record is produced and signed by the asylum seeker under these conditions, it may be considered part of the record. The Secretary may exempt facilities from the requirements of this section under certain circumstances.

Sec. 11. Child Welfare Professionals.

Children can be particularly vulnerable and special training is often necessary to identify those that have need for humanitarian protection. This section requires DHS to work in collaboration with HHS to develop guidelines and training to ensure that all children in the custody of DHS are properly screened for protection needs. It also requires that the two agencies develop a memorandum of understanding for the placement, on a full- or part-time basis, of qualified child welfare professionals in not fewer than seven U.S. Customs and Border Protection offices or stations that had the largest number of child apprehensions in the previous fiscal year.

Sec. 12. Study on the Effect of Expedited Removal Provisions, Practices, and Procedures on Asylum Claims.

A 2005 study by the United States Commission on International Religious Freedom (USCIRF) documented widespread problems in the implementation of expedited removal policy by U.S. Customs and Border Protection immigration officers at ports of entry. A few months prior to release of the Study, the Secretary of Homeland Security expanded expedited removal authority from immigration inspectors at Ports of Entry -- as applied to arriving aliens without proper documentation -- to Border Patrol agents who apprehend an alien within 100 miles of the border within 14 days after an entry without inspection. The 2005 USCIRF Study did not analyze the implementation of expedited removal by the Border Patrol, as USCIRF's data collection had been completed by that point in time. This section authorizes the Commission to conduct a new study to determine whether Border Patrol officers exercising expedited removal authority in the interior of the United

States are improperly encouraging aliens to withdraw or retract claims for asylum. The Commission is also authorized to study whether immigration officers incorrectly fail to refer asylum seekers for credible fear interviews by asylum officers; fail to record an alien's expression of fear of persecution or torture; incorrectly remove such aliens to a country where the alien may be persecuted; detain such asylum seekers improperly or in inappropriate conditions; improperly separate a family unit after a family member has expressed a credible fear of persecution; or improperly refer an alien for processing under an enforcement or deterrence program.

Sec. 13. Training for Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Officers.

This section requires federal border security officials stationed at a port of entry or within 100 miles of any land or marine border to receive appropriate training, prepared in collaboration with the Department of Justice, to identify fraudulent travel documents, protect civil rights, limit the use of force, and identify and address the protection needs of vulnerable populations, among other issues.

Sec. 14. Refugee Opportunity Promotion

The immigration statute requires a refugee who is resettled in the United States to remain on U.S. soil for a full year before adjusting to lawful permanent residence. For many, this requirement presents no obstacles, as resettled refugees immediately begin to work, learn English, and contribute to their local communities. Yet, the one-year physical presence requirement poses a significant barrier to resettled refugees who are eager and willing to serve the United States Government overseas. This section waives the continuous presence requirement for any refugee who, during their first year of residence in the United States, accepts employment overseas to aid the United States Government, such as by working as a translator or in another professional capacity.

Sec. 15. Protections for Minors Seeking Asylum.

The William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPRA) amended the immigration statute to exempt unaccompanied alien children from the safe third country and one-year filing deadline bars to asylum. This section will amend the statute to expand these TVPRA exemptions to all child applicants for asylum. This section also expands the exemption to the bar to asylum for applicants under 18 years of age who were previously denied asylum. The proposed language also clarifies that unaccompanied alien children who have previously been removed, or who departed voluntarily, should not have their removal orders reinstated, but should instead be placed in removal proceedings. This section also modifies existing law to allow a parent of a child refugee or asylee to qualify as a derivative. Finally, this section states that all cases of children seeking asylum be adjudicated in the first instance by an asylum officer in a non-adversarial proceeding. These protections, which were provided to unaccompanied minors in the TVPRA, are expanded in the bill to all child asylum seekers.

Sec. 16. Fair Day in Court for Kids Act.

This provision clarifies that the Attorney General *may* appoint or provide counsel to immigrants in removal proceedings, and requires DHS to ensure that immigrants at detention and border facilities have access to counsel. It requires DHS to provide immigrants in

removal proceedings with all relevant charging documents, and prohibits removal proceedings from moving forward until the immigrant has received and reviewed the documents or waived their right in writing to receive them in a language they understand fluently. It *requires* the Attorney General to appoint counsel for children and particularly vulnerable individuals, such as a person with a disability, or a victim of abuse, torture, or violence, or an individual whose circumstances are such that the appointment of counsel is necessary to help ensure fair resolution and efficient adjudication of the proceedings.

This section also requires DHS to facilitate access to counsel for all detained immigrants under the supervision of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement or U.S. Customs and Border Protection and provide information about legal services programs at detention facilities. And requires DHS and the Attorney General to establish procedures to ensure that legal orientation programs (LOPs) are available to all detained immigrants, including those held in U.S. Customs and Border Protection facilities. The purpose of the LOPs is to inform individuals of the basic procedures of immigration hearings, their rights relating to those hearings under immigration laws, information that may deter individuals from filing frivolous legal claims, and any other information that the Attorney General considers appropriate (e.g., a contact list of potential legal resources and providers). The provision creates a two-year pilot program to provide LOPs to non-detained immigrants with pending asylum claims and requires the Attorney General to implement the pilot program in at least two immigration courts and submit a report to Congress detailing the extent to which non-detained immigrants are provided access to counsel.

It also creates a pilot program administered by DHS that will provide grants to nongovernmental community-based organizations to provide case management services to children and other vulnerable individuals. Services include, but are not limited to facilitating access to counsel, helping individuals with court or other legal obligations, and accessing social services, as appropriate.

Finally, the provision requires DHS, in consultation with the Attorney General, to report annually to the Senate and House Judiciary Committees on the number and percentage of individuals identified in the Act who were represented by counsel, including information specifying at which stage of the legal process the individual was represented and whether the individual was in government custody. DHS must also report on the number and percent of individuals who received legal orientation presentations.

Sec. 17. Protection of Stateless Persons in the United States.

This section will enable individuals who are stateless to obtain lawful status in the United States. Stateless persons are individuals who are not considered to be citizens under the laws of any country. They do not have a nationality and therefore cannot be returned anywhere. (These individuals are not rendered stateless by any negative action of their own, such the commission of crimes that leads the country of origin to deny return, but generally by forces beyond their control, such as the collapse of the country of origin (e.g. the Soviet Union) and the succession of a state or states that will not recognize certain former nationals.) Stateless persons are ineligible for lawfully recognized status in the United States based on the fact that they are stateless. This section would make such persons eligible to apply for conditional lawful status if they are not inadmissible under criminal or security grounds and if they pass all standard background checks.

After five years in conditional status, stateless persons would be eligible to apply for lawful permanent status. This section also requires DHS to provide official travel documentation and identification to individuals applicable under this section, in order to facilitate their travel with the United States and across international borders.

Sec. 18. Authority to Designate Certain Groups of Refugees for Consideration.

This section authorizes the President to designate certain groups as eligible for expedited adjudication as refugees. The authority would address situations in which a group is targeted for persecution in their country of origin or country of first asylum. The designation by the President would be sufficient, if proved to the satisfaction of the Secretary of Homeland Security, to establish a well-founded fear of persecution for members of the designated group. However, each individual applicant would still have to be admissible to the United States and pass security and background checks before being admitted. Refugees admitted under this authority would not be exempt from the annual limit on refugee admissions. This section simply enables the President to call for expedited adjudication where necessary and appropriate. This section explicitly includes groups previously protected under the Lautenberg Amendment, which include, among others, Jews and Evangelical Christians from the former Soviet Union, and religious minorities from Iran. Finally, this section provides that a decision to deny admission to an alien who establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that he or she is a member of a designated group shall be in writing and cite the specific applicable provision or provisions upon which such denial was based, including the facts underlying the determination and whether there is a waiver of inadmissibility available to the applicant.

Sec. 19. Multiple Forms of Relief.

This section simply allows individuals applying for refugee protection to simultaneously apply for other forms of admission to the United States, such as through a family-based petition. All applicants for admission must pass security and background checks. This modification to current law would not allow would-be refugees from gaming the system, but simply enable them to escape harm or persecution at the first opportunity a visa becomes available. This section also allows the very small number of asylum applicants who win the opportunity to apply for a green card through the diversity lottery the ability to apply for that diversity visa from within the United States. Typically, diversity visa applicants must apply from their home country, a requirement that would subject a genuine asylum seeker to risk of harm.

Sec. 20. Protection of Refugee Families.

This modification to current law would enable the spouse or child of a refugee (a “derivative”) to bring their children to the United States when they accompany or follow to join the spouse or parent who was originally awarded refugee status (a “principal”). Current law does not allow a derivative’s child to be admitted as a refugee, yet given the long waits and often unsafe conditions that many derivative applicants and their children face in camps overseas, the United States should provide this group protection. This section also aids children who were orphaned or abandoned by their blood relatives and are living in the care of extended family, friends, or neighbors who are granted admission to the United States as refugees or asylees. Where it is in the best interest of such a child

to join that refugee or asylee in the United States, this section creates a mechanism whereby they may be admitted. This section also repeals an unnecessary time limit in regulations on the filing of family petitions related to refugee and asylee family reunification, and allows the refugee family reunification process to be completed, no matter how the family members legally entered the United States. Finally, to facilitate the admission of eligible family members, this section requires that U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services adjudicate family reunification petitions for those following to join refugees and asylees within 90 days of filing.

Sec. 21. Reform of Refugee Consultation Process.

Each year, the executive branch is charged with consulting with Congress over the annual allocation of refugees to be admitted to the United States. This section requires meaningful consultation to take place between Cabinet-level officers and the committees of jurisdiction of the Congress by May 1 of each year. In fulfilling the requirements of this section, the President shall establish specific objectives or measurements for the integration of refugees admitted to the United States and submit an annual report to Congress on the integration of resettled refugees on the basis of such objectives or measurements.

Sec. 22. Admission of Refugees in the Absence of the Annual Presidential Determination.

This section states that for a fiscal year in which the executive branch does not determine the allocation of refugees for that year, the admission of refugees is not delayed. Rather, until a determination is announced for the new fiscal year, in each quarter of the new fiscal year, the number of refugees equal to one-quarter for the prior fiscal year's allocation may be admitted.

Sec. 23. Update of Reception and Placement Grants.

When a refugee is resettled in the United States, the federal government assists him or her through Reception and Placement Grants to non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that help refugees find housing, place their children in school, enroll in ESL classes, and take other initial steps toward building a new life in the United States. Early in 2010, the administration increased the per capita grant level to \$1800 per refugee, up to \$1100 of which may be awarded directly to the refugee for immediate costs, and up to \$700 of which is used by the NGO to cover the cost of dedicated staff and expenses. Prior to 2010, the per capita level had not kept pace with inflation. For years it was set at a level so low that refugees were effectively consigned to poverty upon arrival in the United States, and NGOs were only able to offset the cost of basic support services to the refugees by raising additional funds. To ensure that the per capita amount does not fall behind the minimum level required for basic needs, this section requires the per capita amount to be adjusted on an annual basis for inflation and the cost of living. It also calls for better forecasting of financial needs with regard to the number of refugees expected to be resettled each year and allows for additional amounts to be paid out in the event that a higher than anticipated number of refugees is admitted in a fiscal year.

Sec. 24. Protection of Refugees.

The U.S. government should apply one standard, consistent with the Refugee Convention, to all asylum seekers interdicted at sea, regardless of their nationality. Yet a patchwork of policies has evolved over the past two decades often in response to mass migrations at sea. The result is disparate treatment of Cubans, Chinese and Haitians. This section will require the Secretary of Homeland Security to develop uniform policies to identify asylum seekers among those interdicted at sea and to treat those individuals fairly and in a non-discriminatory manner.

Sec. 25. Modification of Physical Presence Requirements for Aliens Serving as Translators.

Under current law, in order to be naturalized, most non-U.S. citizens must have continuous residence in the United States for five years and physical presence for periods totaling half that time (2½ years). This section would permit absence from the United States while serving as a translator for the U.S. government in Iraq or Afghanistan to count toward the 2½ years physical presence required for naturalization.

Sec. 26. Protecting Victims of Terrorism from Being Defined as Terrorists.

Under current law, any asylum seeker or refugee who is individually culpable of engaging in terrorist conduct, or direct support for it, is barred under prohibitions to entry for a threat to national security, serious non-political crime, persecution of others, or engaging in terrorist activity. Changes in the law since September 11, 2001, have resulted in innocent activity, or coerced actions, being labeled as “material support” for terrorism, a determination that can render genuine refugees ineligible for protection in the United States. This section would amend the law to ensure that asylum seekers and refugees are not barred from admission to the United States under an overly broad definition of “terrorist organization” in the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA).

This section would define the term “material support” to mean support that is significant and of a kind directly relevant to terrorist activity. This section also gives the Secretary of Homeland Security discretion to waive application of the terrorism bars for certain applicants.

This section clarifies that those who committed certain acts (such as military-type training, solicitation, or other non-violent actions) under duress may not be deemed inadmissible if they pose no threat to the United States. It gives the Secretary discretion to consider the age of the applicant at the time the acts were committed in determining whether those acts were committed under duress.

This section also creates an exception for those who were forced to recruit child soldiers under duress, or who engaged in such recruitment under the age of 18. Finally, this section would repeal an unduly harsh provision in current law that makes spouses and children inadmissible for the acts of a spouse or parent.

All applicants for asylum or refugee status must meet all of the other traditional background and security checks.

Sec. 27. Assessment of the Refugee Domestic Resettlement Program.

This section directs GAO to conduct a study on the effectiveness of the domestic refugee resettlement program operated by the Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) of the Department of Health and Human Services. The study will analyze issues pertaining to the definition of self sufficiency, the effectiveness of ORR in helping refugees to attain self-sufficiency, the unmet needs of the program, and the role of community-based organizations. The GAO study will issue statutory recommendations.

Sec. 28. Refugee Assistance.

This section revises the formula for social services funding allocated to states to include projections of future refugee arrivals, as well as refugee data from prior years. This section requires an annual report on secondary migration and its impact on states. It also requires that funding go towards providing legal assistance to refugees to assist them in acquiring immigration benefits and for other purposes.

Sec. 29. Resettlement Data.

This section expands and improves data collection and reporting within ORR with regard to the mental health and housing needs of refugees. It will also collect long term employment and self-sufficiency data on resettled refugees.

Sec. 30. Extension of Eligibility Period for Social Security Benefits for Certain Refugees.

This section extends social security benefits to elderly and disabled refugees who have not yet naturalized. Typically, certain eligible refugees may receive social security for seven years. That period was extended for two years in 2008 by a bipartisan bill supported by President Bush. This section extends the social security funding for one additional year.

Sec. 31. Prohibition on Operation Streamline for Asylum Seekers.

This section directs the Secretary of DHS to refrain from referring any asylum-seeker for prosecution under operation streamline, a fast-track removal authority, absent a negative determination pursuant to 8 U.S.C. 235(b)(1)(B).

Sec. 32. U and T Visa Reforms.

The U nonimmigrant status (U visa) is available for victims of certain crimes who agree to cooperate with law enforcement or government officials in the investigation or prosecution of criminal activity. As such, it is a law enforcement tool that encourages witnesses who may otherwise be reluctant to step forward to help investigate and prosecute cases of domestic violence, sexual assault, human trafficking and other crimes.

When Congress created the U visa as part of the Violence Against Women Act, it limited the number of U visas to 10,000 per fiscal year. That cap has been reached before the end of the fiscal year every year since 2008 and often within the first few months. The result is a long waitlist for victims, which may discourage cooperation and increases the vulnerability of victims who do not receive the full rights and protections provided by the visa.

Subsection (a) responds to this problem by modestly increasing the cap to 18,000, the same increase that was included in the bipartisan comprehensive immigration reform bill that overwhelmingly passed the Senate in 2013. Subsection (b) seeks to ensure that work authorizations are provided to victims applying for either a U visa or a T visa (specific to victims of human trafficking) within six months of filing an application. This change addresses lengthy delays in the adjudication of these petitions that currently undermine the access to safety and economic security of vulnerable immigrant survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, human trafficking and other crimes. These provisions were included in the bipartisan comprehensive immigration reform bill that overwhelmingly passed the Senate in 2013.

Sec. 33. Transparency in Refugee Determinations.

This section requires that denials of refugees' applications for resettlement be transmitted in writing and codifies much of the request for review process so that requests can be more tailored and concise, and thus less demanding on adjudicators' time. It also provides that overseas refugee applicants have the ability to be represented by counsel of their choosing, at no expense to the government.

Sec. 34. Authorization of Appropriations.

This section authorizes such sums as are necessary to carry out the Act.

Sec. 35. Determination of Budgetary Effects.

This section contains standardized "PAYGO" language.