April 17, 2018

The Honorable Jeff Sessions
Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Attorney General Sessions:

We write to express our profound objection to actions taken by the Department of Justice to undermine central elements of due process and fairness in our immigration system. By imposing numeric quotas upon immigration judges and now attempting to terminate the longstanding Legal Orientation Program (LOP), the Department is systematically deconstructing basic due process protections for immigrants. These measures raise constitutional concerns and stand in stark contrast to the American vision of a justice system for all.

As members of the Committees of jurisdiction over the Justice Department and both immigration and constitutional law, we remind you that the U.S. Supreme Court has firmly established that the Constitution guarantees basic due process for immigrants. The Court has ruled that the “Due Process Clause applies to all ‘persons’ within the United States, including aliens,” and that it is “not confined to the protection of citizens.”1 The Court has further observed that “aliens who have once passed through our gates . . . may be expelled only after proceedings conforming to traditional standards of fairness encompassed in due process of law.”2

Despite these constitutional protections, immigrants often face a starkly different reality than citizens.3 Children, some as young as three years old, have been compelled to represent themselves before immigration judges because there is no government appointed counsel.4 Immigrants who do not speak English are often forced to navigate the complexities of immigration law without the aid of a competent interpreter.5 It is difficult to reconcile these unjust realities with the “traditional standards of fairness encompassed in due process” required by the Supreme Court.

And now, the Department seeks to further erode what little due process exists in our immigration courts. On January 17, 2018, the Department announced new “performance
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metrics” imposing numeric quotas upon immigration judges. Immigration judges will now be expected to complete 700 cases a year with a less than 15 percent remand rate in order to receive a “satisfactory” performance rating from the Department. But immigration judges – like their peers in other court systems – must not be asked to sacrifice thoroughness and reasoned judgment in favor of speed. Immigration judges must possess sufficient discretion, independence, and information to fairly rule on each legal and factual question before them. This is particularly true because immigration judges are often presiding over cases that have life-and-death consequences. Assembly line justice is no justice at all.

Forcing judges to choose between job security and thoroughness is a direct threat to due process. It is bad policy, and it is not a choice that any judge should have to make. Inevitably, immigrants appearing in court will legitimately question whether the immigration judge’s decisions are driven by the merits of their arguments or the need to quickly process cases. This will only lead to more appeals, clogged circuit courts, longer detention stays, and more backlogs – undermining the purported efficiency-based rationale for implementing these quotas in the first place.

To make matters worse, reports indicate that the Department intends to pause and possibly terminate the LOP and the Immigration Court Helpdesk (ICH) program. These programs connect immigrants with legal services organizations that provide them with basic information about their legal rights and the court process. In 2016 alone, LOP attorneys and paralegals assisted more than 60,000 detained individuals in 38 detention facilities across the country. While the Department claims that the LOP must be paused to assess the cost-effectiveness of the program, its own study in 2012 found that the LOP saved the government nearly $18 million over a three year period. This Department study demonstrates that our immigration system operates more efficiently when immigrants, who have no government appointed counsel, are provided with basic information about the court process and their rights.

The Trump administration’s Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agrees. An internal ICE memo from November 2017 regarding the LOP states, “[e]xperience has shown that LOP attendees are positioned to . . . complete their cases faster than detainees who have not received LOP.” Thus, the Department’s claim that the LOP must be paused to assess its cost effectiveness appears merely to be a smokescreen masking an attempt to strip immigrants of access to information about their rights.

The Department’s decision to pause the LOP contradicts clear and unambiguous Congressional intent. The FY 2018 Omnibus – which Congress passed and the President signed

---

9 See Attachment 1, Memorandum from Tae Johnson, ICE ERO Assistant Director for Custody Management, to Field Office Directors (Nov. 30, 2017).
into law – contains language explicitly instructing the Department to provide funds to “sustai[n] the current legal orientation program.”\textsuperscript{10} The legislation also contains language noting the need for expanded LOP services in remote areas.\textsuperscript{11} By deciding instead to temporarily halt the program, the Department is ignoring the will of Congress.

We strongly condemn these recent decisions to undermine the integrity of our immigration system. The Department of Justice exists as a guardian of the rule of law. That necessarily entails protecting the due process rights of citizens and non-citizens alike. We believe the measures described above undermine the most basic notions of fairness in the American justice system, and thus the rule of law itself. We urge you to reject these ill-advised policy changes.

Sincerely,

Patrick Leahy
United States Senator

Jerrold Nadler
United States Representative

Richard J. Durbin
United States Senator

Zoe Lofgren
United States Representative


Dianne Feinstein
United States Senator

Sheldon Whitehouse
United States Senator

Amy Klobuchar
United States Senator

Christopher Coons
United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal
United States Senator

Mazie K. Hirono
United States Senator

Cory A. Booker
United States Senator

Kamala D. Harris
United States Senator

Sheila Jackson Lee
United States Representative

Steve Cohen
United States Representative

Henry C. "Hank" Johnson, Jr.
United States Representative

Theodore E. Deutch
United States Representative

Luis V. Gutiérrez
United States Representative

Karen Bass
United States Representative
November 30, 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR: Field Office Directors

FROM: Tae Johnson
Assistant Director for Custody Management

SUBJECT: Updated Guidance: ERO Support of the U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review Legal Orientation Program

Purpose:

This memorandum provides updated guidance to Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) Field Office Directors (FODs), Deputy Field Office Directors (DFODs), and Assistant Field Office Directors (AFODs) on best practices in support of the U.S. Department of Justice’s (DOJ) Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) Legal Orientation Program (LOP).

This memorandum updates the previous memorandum *ERO Support of the Department of Justice’s Executive Office for Immigration Review Legal Orientation Program*, issued on April 23, 2013, and provides an updated list of the 37 ICE facilities in which an LOP currently operates. *See* Attachment A.

Background:

For over 17 years, the DOJ EOIR Office of Legal Access Programs (OLAP) has worked to improve the efficiency of immigration court proceedings by increasing access to information and improving representation for individuals in proceedings. In 2003, EOIR launched the LOP to assist all parties in detained removal proceedings: detained aliens; the immigration courts; ICE; and detention facilities. The LOP is run by EOIR contractors, and orients ICE detainees to the immigration court process, their obligations, and their legal options. Experience has shown that LOP attendees are positioned to make better informed decisions, are more likely to obtain legal representation, and complete their cases faster than detainees who have not received the LOP.

The LOP is generally comprised of: (1) an interactive group orientation, which is open to general questions; (2) an individual orientation, in which unrepresented individuals can briefly discuss their cases with experienced legal service providers; (3) a self-help component, in which unrepresented individuals who wish to pursue their case, or who wish to voluntarily
depart the U.S. or request removal, receive helpful legal materials and basic training on self-representation through group workshops; and (4) a referral process, in which individuals seeking relief are referred to pro bono counsel, where available.

The LOP is currently operating in 37 ICE detention facilities. A list of those facilities is attached. See Attachment A. In addition, EOIR periodically updates this list in response to changes in detention populations and availability of resources.

Discussion:

FODs who have EOIR LOP contractors operating in facilities within their area of responsibility (AOR) should note the updated best practices outlined below.

Accommodations

FODs are encouraged to establish consistent times and adequate space for the EOIR LOP contractors to meet with detainees. FODs should appoint dedicated field office point(s) of contact to maintain productive working relationships and facilitate information sharing among ERO, detention facilities, and the EOIR LOP contractors. To better ensure confidentiality of individual detainee legal matters, detention facilities should provide a means by which LOP contractors/representatives can converse privately with detainees, if needed.

Information Sharing

FODs are authorized\(^1\) and encouraged to share information about detainees with EOIR and their LOP contractors. FODs should also provide EOIR contractors new arrival and full population rosters to the LOP contractors on a regular (i.e., daily or weekly) basis, which may include:

- Detainee names;
- A-Numbers;
- Country of birth;
- Book-in dates;
- Types of immigration proceeding; and
- Housing locations, if necessary.

Eligible detainees include all detainees in EOIR proceedings; detainees who may be placed in EOIR proceedings; and detainees in other immigration proceedings, depending on available LOP resources.

The Field Office should also:

- Develop standard procedures for announcing the LOP presentations to detainees, and for facilitating movement of interested detainees within the facility to allow attendance.
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- Provide information and/or training to Field Office and facility staff on the LOP; and
- Maintain a consistent point of contact at each facility to increase efficiencies.

*Legal Documentation and Availability of LOP Materials*

FODs shall ensure facilities allow detainees to keep legal documents (e.g., NTAs, court information, etc.) with them, in accordance with relevant ICE detention standards, so that the detainees may bring these to the LOP as well as to their immigration court hearings. Additionally, FODs should ensure that LOP materials are consistently available in facilities’ law libraries.

*Technology*

EOIR LOP contractors are better able to assist detainees and provide the LOP when allowed to use technology in ICE detention facilities. Subject to ICE and facility policy and procedures, FODs may provide appropriate laptop, internet, and telephone access to EOIR and their LOP contractors.

For further information, contact ERO CMD at detention.legalaccess@ice.dhs.gov.

*Attachments:*

A. ICE Facilities with EOIR LOP Contractors
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>AOR</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adelanto Correctional Facility</td>
<td>Adelanto</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>DIGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Central Arizona Florence Correctional Complex</td>
<td>Florence</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>PHO</td>
<td>USMS IGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Eloy Federal Contract Facility</td>
<td>Eloy</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>PHO</td>
<td>DIGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Florence Service Processing Center</td>
<td>Florence</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>PHO</td>
<td>SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>El Paso Service Processing Center</td>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>ELP</td>
<td>SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Otero County Processing Center</td>
<td>Chaparral</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>ELP</td>
<td>DIGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>West Texas Detention Facility</td>
<td>Sierra Blanca</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>ELP</td>
<td>USMS IGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Northwest Detention Center</td>
<td>Tacoma</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>CDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Houston Contract Detention Facility</td>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>HOU</td>
<td>CDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Joe Corley Detention Facility</td>
<td>Conroe</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>HOU</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>IAH Secure Adult Detention Facility</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>HOU</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Prairieland Detention Center</td>
<td>Alvarado</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>DAL</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Denver Contract Detention Facility</td>
<td>Aurora</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>DEN</td>
<td>CDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Kenosha County Detention Center</td>
<td>Kenosha</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>USMS IGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>McHenry County Jail</td>
<td>Woodstock</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>USMS IGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>York County Prison</td>
<td>York</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PHI</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Berks County Family Shelter</td>
<td>Leesport</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PHI</td>
<td>Family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Karnes County Residential Center</td>
<td>Karnes City</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>USMS IGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>South Texas Detention Center</td>
<td>Pearsall</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>CDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>South Texas Family Residential Center</td>
<td>Dilley</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Elizabeth Detention Center</td>
<td>Elizabeth</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>CDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Essex County Jail</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Hudson County Jail</td>
<td>Kearney</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>East Hidalgo Detention Center</td>
<td>La Villa</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>USMS IGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Port Isabel Service Processing Center</td>
<td>Los Fresnos</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>San Diego Contract Detention Facility - Otay</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>SND</td>
<td>CDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>LaSalle Processing Center</td>
<td>Jena</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>NOL</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pine Prairie Processing Center</td>
<td>Pine Prairie</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>NOL</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Stewart Detention Center</td>
<td>Lumpkin</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>ATL</td>
<td>DIGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Irwin County Detention Center</td>
<td>Ocilla</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>ATL</td>
<td>USMS IGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Broward County Transitional Center</td>
<td>Pompano</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>MIA</td>
<td>CDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Krome North Service Processing Center</td>
<td>Miami</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>MIA</td>
<td>SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Frederick County Adult Detention Center</td>
<td>Frederick</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>BAL</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Howard County Detention Center</td>
<td>Jessup</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>BAL</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Worcester County Jail</td>
<td>Snow Hill</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>BAL</td>
<td>IGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Immigration Centers of America Farmville</td>
<td>Farmville</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>WAS</td>
<td>DIGSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Virginia Peninsula Regional Jail</td>
<td>Williamsburg</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>WAS</td>
<td>USMS IGA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>